UASD 1247 (162/108) Ander Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIAN ≠
RECEIVED - ALEXANDRIA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MAR - 5 2008 for the
ROBERT H. SHEMWELL, CLERK Western District of Louisiana
United States of America)
v.
Todd Ramon Phillips) Case No: <u>03-10014-004</u>) USM No: <u>11973-035</u>
Date of Previous Judgment: February 18,2005) Carol Whitehurst
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable) Defendant's Attorney
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
Upon motion of □ the defendant □ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ■ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582 (c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §994(u), and having considered sucception,
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: DENIED. GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (a reflected in the last judgment issued) of80 months is reduced to70 months .
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to any Departures) Previous Offense Level: Criminal History Category: Previous Guideline Range: 87 to 108 months Amended Guideline Range: 70 to 87 months II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE
The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range. Other (explain): The Safety Valve remains applicable. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: If this term exceeds the time of imprisonment already served, then the sentence reduced to a "Time Served" sentence without any "Overserved Time" credit in case of future supervised release revocation. Defendant's post-sentencing Bureau of Prisons conduct has had a negative impact upon the amount of reduction. This sentence reduction is subject to the Prohibition contained in U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10 (b)(2)(C), which is the sentence of imprisonment the defendance of the least the start of imprisonment the defendance of the least the start of imprisonment the defendance of the least the start of imprisonment the defendance of the least the start of imprisonment the defendance of the least the start of imprisonment the defendance of the least the start of imprisonment the defendance of the least the start of imprisonment the defendance of the least the start of imprisonment the defendance of the least the start of the least the start of imprisonment the defendance of the least the start of the start of the least the start of the start of the start of the start of the s
specifies that in no event may the reduced term of imprisonment be less than the term of imprisonment the defendathas already served.
Except as provided above, all provisions of the Judgment dated <u>February 18, 2005</u> shall remain in effect.
IT IS SO ORDERED,
Order Date: $\frac{3/b/o8}{}$
Judge's Signature
Effective Date: March 16, 2008 COPY SEN (If different from order date) DATE: 03/06/08 Honorable Dee D. Drell, U. S. District Judge

BY: uh
TO: USM + USPO